Aquatic Plant Management

NOTE: Missing or incomplete fields are highlighted at the bottom of each page. You may save, close and return to your draft permit as often as necessary to complete your application. If there are no updates in 90 days, your draft is deleted

This Application has been Signed and Submitted by: i:0#.f|wamsmembership|bs1j89 signed on 2022-02-28T15:23:21

Site or Project Name:

Del Monte and Tahoe Lakes

The permit application will be saved automatically with this name

Activity:

Chemical Control Application

Is there more than one property owner?

• Yes • No

Eligibility:

(All questions must be no for it to be considered a private pond.)

Does the water body have public access?

Eligibility:
Will there be uncontrolled surface water discharge?

Yes
No

Enter previous years permit information below to import Contact Information (Optional)

3200-004 Chemical Aquatic Control Application

NOTE: To be considered a private pond, a waterbody must meet all of the following requirements:

- 1. Confined to one property owner.
- 2. The pond has no uncontrolled surface water discharge.
- 3. No public access.

Upon submittal of your permit application, a **non-refundable \$20 permit processing fee will be charged**. Additional acreage fees will be refunded if the permit request is denied or if no treatment occurs.

3200-004 Chemical Aquatic Plant Control Application

- Annually complete all pages on Form 3200-004 for chemical plant management applications. Complete form 3200-004a for large scale treatments(exceeds 10.0 acres in size or 10% of the area of the water body that is 10 feet or less in depth) as required by NR107.04(3).
 - Form 3200-004 is competed electronically through this system.
 - Form 3200-004a must be completed outside the system and uploaded to the attachments section. Please refer to this link for a copy of this form: http://dnr.wi.gov/files/pdf/forms/3200/3200-004A.pdf
- Attach a map that shows the treatment location(s), treatment dimensions and riparian landowners. If requesting WPDES
 coverage, attach a water body map that shows surface outflow and receiving waters.
- For a large-scale treatment, attach evidence that a public notice has been published in a regional / local newspaper and if required that a public informational meeting has been conducted as defined in NR107.04(3).
- Pay fee online.
- Sign and Submit form.
- A signed permit application certifies to the Department that a copy of the application has been provided to any affected property owner's association/district and to landowners adjacent to treatment area.

Contact Information Applicant Information Organization Honey Lake Protection & Rehabilitation District Last Name: Webb First Name: Doug Mailing Address: P.O.Box 65 City: Burlington State: WI **Zip Code:** 53105 Email: **Phone Number:** (xxx-xxx-xxxx) **Alternative Phone Number:** (xxx-xxx-xxxx) **Waterbody Address** Last Name: Webb First Name: Doug Street Address: P.O.Box 65 City: Burlington State: WI **Zip Code:** 53105 **Email: Phone Number:** (xxx-xxx-xxxx) **Alternative Phone Number:** (xxx-xxx-xxxx) **Applicator** Name of Applicator Firm: Marine Biochemists **Applicator Certification #: 107444** Business Location License #: 93-026877-019614 Restricted Use Pesticide #: Address: N173W21440 Northwest Passage City: Jackson State: WI **Zip:** 53037

Email: brians@marinebiochemists.com

Phone Number: 414-406-0050 (xxx-xxx-xxxx)

Adjacent Riparian Property Owners or Other Individuals Sponsoring Removal

Individuals and organizations (e.g. Lake District, Lake Association, Property Owners Association, County Department of Recreation), sponsoring removal.

NOTE: Phone and email address are optional fields. This information will be publicly viewable if provided on this application.

Uploaded riparian owners to attachment tab

| | are tab | | |
|------|---------|-------|---------------|
| Name | Address | Phone | Email Address |
| | | | |

| Site Information - Complete | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Water Body to be Treated | | | | |
| Waterbody Property Owners Association | Honey Lake P & R District | | | |
| or Waterbody District Representative : | None | | | |
| Water Body Name: | Del Monte & Tahoe Lakes | | | |
| County: | Racine | | | |
| Latitude: | 42.71508 | | | |
| Longitude: | -88.3041 | | | |
| Section: | 13 | | | |
| Township: | 03 | | | |
| Range: | 20 | | | |
| Direction: | ● E ○W | | | |
| Waterbody Surface Area: | 13 acres | | | |
| Estimated Surface area that is 10ft or less | 13 acres | | | |

Proposed Treatment Area

| Area(s) Proposed for Cont | rol: | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|--------------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| <u>Site Name</u> (Optional) | <u>Treatment</u> <u>Length</u> | Treatment | t Width | Estimated Ad | creage_ | Average Depth | <u>Calcu</u> | lated Volume |
| DelMonte | 1,050 _{ft.} | x 220 ft. | ÷ 43,560 ft. ² | = 5.30 | ac | 3 ft = | 15.91 | ac-ft |
| Tahoe | 500 ft. | x 650 ft. | ÷ 43,560 ft. ² | = 7.46 | ac | 2.5 ft = | 18.65 | ac-ft |
| | | Estin | nated Acreage Grand Total | | 12.76 ac | Calculated Volume Grand Tota | l l | ac-ft |

| l | Is the area with in or adjacent to a sens | tive area designated | d by the Department o | of Natural Resources |
|---|---|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | ○ Yes ● No | | | |

If the estimated acreage is greater than 10 acres, or is greater than 10 percent of the estimated area 10 feet or less in depth in Section II, complete and attach Form 3200-004A, Large-Scale Treatment Worksheet.

Chemical Aquatic Plant Control Information - Form 3200-004 (R 2/17)

Full Trade Name of Proposed Chemical(s)

☐ Clipper

☐ Clipper SC

☐ Agristar 2,4-D Amine

☐ Algimycin PWF

Notice: Use of this form is required by the Department for any application filed pursuant to s. 281.17(2), Wis. Stats., and Chapters NR 107, 200 and 205, Wis. Adm. Code. This permit application is required to request coverage for pollutant discharge into waters of

| the state. Personally identifiable informat Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.]. | ion on this form may be provided to requeste | ers to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records |
|---|--|---|
| Is this permit being requested i ○ <i>Yes</i> | in accordance with an approved A | Aquatic Plant Management Plan? |
| Treatment Type: ● Lake ○ Pond ○ Wetland ○ | Marina () Other | |
| Goal of Aquatic Plant Control: | | |
| ☐ Maintain navigation channel ☐ Maintain boat landing and carr ☐ Improve fish habitat ☐ Maintain swimming area ☑ Control of invasive exotics ☐ Other | y in access | |
| Nuisance Caused By: | | |
| ☐ Floating water plants (majority | ty of leaves & stems growing above w of leaves floating on water surface, e s & stems below surface, flowering pa | |
| List Target Plants | | |
| □ Algae □ Common/Glossy Buckthorn □ Coontail ☑ Curly-Leaf Pondweed □ Duckweed □ Elodea □ Eurasian Watermilfoil | ☐ Flowering Rush ☐ Hybrid Cattail ☐ Hybrid Watermilfoil ☐ Japanese Knotweed ☐ Naiad ☐ Narrow-Leaf Cattail ☐ Phragmites | □ Purple Loosestrife □ Reed Canary Grass □ Reed Manna Grass □ Starry Stonewort □ Yellow Floating Heart □ Yellow Iris □ Pondweed |
| Other Target Plants: | | |
| | | |
| Note: Different plants require different cl | nemicals for effective treatment. Do not purc | hase chemical before identifying plants. |
| Chemical Control | | |

☐ K-Tea

Littora

☐ SCI-62

☐ Sculpin G

| Alligare Diquat | Alligaro Argos | | | ∟ SeClear |
|---|---|--|--|--------------------|
| Alligare Ecomazapyr | Alligate Algus | Cutrine-Plus | ☐ Nautique | ☐ SeClear G |
| Alligare Glyphosate 5.4 | ☐ Alligare Diquat | Cutrine-Plus Granular | ☐ Navigate | ☐ Shoreklear-Plus |
| Aqua Neat | ☐ Alligare Ecomazapyr | ☐ Cutrine-Ultra | ☐ Navitrol | ☐ Shredder Amine |
| Aqua Star | ☐ Alligare Glyphosate 5.4 | ☐ DMA 4 IVM | ☐ Navitrol DPF | ☐ Sonar AS |
| AquaPro | ☐ Aqua Neat | ☐ Earthtec | ☐ Phycomycin SCP | ☐ Sonar Genesis |
| Aquashade | Aqua Star | ☐ Element 3A | ☐ Polaris | Sonar H4C |
| Aquashadow Garlon 3A ProcellaCOR EC Sonar RT Aquastrike Green Clean Refuge Sonar SR Aquathol K Habitat Renovate 3 SonarON Aquathol Super K Harpoon Renovate LZR Stingray Avast! SC Harvester Renovate LZR Max Symmetr Captain Havoc Amine Renovate Max G Touchdor Captain XTR Hydrothol 191 Renovate OTF Tribune Chinook Hydrothol Granular Reward Trycera Clearcast Komeen Rodeo Weedar Clearigate Komeen Crystal Roundup Custom Weedest Other Proposed Chemical Sub-surface application What were the results of the treatment? Good Results Method of Application: Sub-surface application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Resources upon request. All Control: Sub-surface If No, Why Not? Control: Control: Yes No No suitable access. Too expensive. 2. Manual removal Yes No No suitable access. Too expensive. 3. Sediment screens/covers Yes No No No geapensive. 4. Dredging Yes No No No May or may not have desired results 6. Nutrient controls in watershed Yes No No No No No | ☐ AquaPro | ☐ Flumioxazin 51% WDG | ☐ Polaris AC | ☐ Sonar PR |
| Aquastrike | ☐ Aquashade | ☐ Formula F-30 | ☐ Pond-Klear | Sonar Q |
| ☑ Aquathol K Habitat Renovate 3 SonarOn ☑ Aquathol Super K Harpoon Renovate LZR Stingray ☐ Avastl SC Harvester Renovate Max G Too Louchdo ☐ Captain Havoc Amine Renovate Max G Too Louchdo ☐ Captain XTR Hydrothol 191 Renovate OTF Tribune ☐ Chinook Hydrothol Granular Reward Trycera ☐ Clearcast Komeen Rodeo Weedar ☐ Clearigate Komeen Crystal Roundup Custom Weedest Other Proposed Chemical(s): Have the proposed chemicals been permitted in a prior year on the proposed site? ② All ○ Some ○ None What were the results of the treatment? Good Results Method of Application: Sub-surface application Sub-surface application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting 2. Manual removal 3. Sediment screens/covers 4. Dredging Yes No No Suitable access. Too expensive. 7. Ves No No Too large an area. 8. Sediment screens/covers 4. Dredging Yes No No May or may not have desired results Not roo large an area. 1. Too expensive. 1. Maternatives to other controls in watershed Yes No May or may not have desired results | □ Aquashadow | ☐ Garlon 3A | ☐ ProcellaCOR EC | ☐ Sonar RTU |
| ✓ Aquathol Super K Harpoon Renovate LZR Stingray △ Avast! SC Harvester Renovate LZR Max Symmetr ○ Captain Havoc Amine Renovate Max G Touchdo' ○ Captain XTR Hydrothol 191 Renovate OTF Tribune ○ Chinook Hydrothol Granular Reward Trycera ○ Clearcast Komeen Rodeo Weedar ○ Clearigate Komeen Crystal Roundup Custom Weedest Other Proposed Chemical(s): Have the proposed chemicals been permitted in a prior year on the proposed site? @ All ○ Some ○ None What were the results of the treatment? Good Results Method of Application: Sub-surface application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting 2. Manual removal 3. Sediment screens/covers 4. Dredging Yes No No Too large an area. 3. Sediment screens/covers Yes No Too large an area. 4. Dredging Yes No May or may not have desired results 6. Nutrient controls in watershed Properties of Tribune Renovate DTF Torbuchor Renovate OTF Torbuchor Renovate OTF Tribune Renovate OTF Torbuchor Renovate OTF Torbuchor Torpcera Torpcera Torpcera Torpcera Torpcera Torpcera Torpcera Rodeo Weedar Thycera Torpcera Thouchor Thouchor Torpcera Thouchor Tho | ☐ Aquastrike | Green Clean | ☐ Refuge | Sonar SRP |
| Avast! SC | ✓ Aquathol K | ☐ Habitat | ☐ Renovate 3 | ☐ SonarOne |
| Captain | Aquathol Super K | • | Renovate LZR | <u> </u> |
| Captain XTR | ☐ Avast! SC | | | ☐ Symmetry NXG |
| Chinook | ☐ Captain | ☐ Havoc Amine | Renovate Max G | Touchdown Pro |
| Clearcast | • | ☐ Hydrothol 191 | ☐ Renovate OTF | ☐ Tribune |
| □ Clearigate □ Komeen Crystal □ Roundup Custom □ Weedest Other Proposed Chemical(s): Have the proposed chemicals been permitted in a prior year on the proposed site? ② All ○ Some ○ None What were the results of the treatment? Good Results Method of Application: Sub-surface application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting ○ Yes ② No No suitable access. Too expensive. 2. Manual removal ○ Yes ③ No Too large an area. 3. Sediment screens/covers ○ Yes ③ No Too large an area. 4. Dredging ○ Yes ③ No Too expensive. 5. Waterbody drawdown ○ Yes ③ No may or may not have desired results ④ Nutrient controls in watershed ④ Yes ○ No | Chinook | Hydrothol Granular | ☐ Reward | • |
| Other Proposed Chemical(s): Have the proposed chemicals been permitted in a prior year on the proposed site? All Some None What were the results of the treatment? Good Results Method of Application: Sub-surface application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting Yes No No suitable access. Too expensive. 2. Manual removal Yes No Too large an area. 3. Sediment screens/covers Yes No Too large an area. 4. Dredging Yes No Too expensive. 5. Waterbody drawdown Yes No may or may not have desired results 6. Nutrient controls in watershed Yes No | | | - | ☐ Weedar 64 |
| Have the proposed chemicals been permitted in a prior year on the proposed site? All Some None What were the results of the treatment? Good Results Method of Application: Sub-surface application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Control: Mechanical harvesting Yes No No suitable access. Too expensive. Mosuitable access. Too expensive. Too large an area. Sediment screens/covers Yes No Too large an area. Loredging Yes No Too expensive. Maternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Too large an area. Too expensive. May or may not have desired results May or may not have desired results May or may not have desired results | ☐ Clearigate | ☐ Komeen Crystal | Roundup Custom | ☐ Weedestroy AM-40 |
| NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting Yes No No suitable access. Too expensive. 2. Manual removal Yes No Too large an area. 3. Sediment screens/covers Yes No Too large an area. 4. Dredging Yes No Too expensive. 5. Waterbody drawdown Yes No May or may not have desired results 6. Nutrient controls in watershed Yes No | | s been permitted in a pri | or year on the proposed site | ? |
| NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting Yes No No suitable access. Too expensive. 2. Manual removal Yes No Too large an area. 3. Sediment screens/covers Yes No Too large an area. 4. Dredging Yes No Too expensive. 5. Waterbody drawdown Yes No May or may not have desired results 6. Nutrient controls in watershed Yes No | All Some NoneWhat were the results of the | · | or year on the proposed site | ? |
| Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting Yes No No suitable access. Too expensive. 2. Manual removal Yes No Too large an area. 3. Sediment screens/covers Yes No Too large an area. 4. Dredging Yes No Too expensive. 5. Waterbody drawdown Yes No May or may not have desired results 6. Nutrient controls in watershed Yes No | All Some NoneWhat were the results of the | · | or year on the proposed site | ? |
| Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting | All ○ Some ○ None What were the results of the Good Results | e treatment? | or year on the proposed site | ? |
| 2. Manual removal 3. Sediment screens/covers 4. Dredging 5. Waterbody drawdown 6. Nutrient controls in watershed O Yes No Too large an area. Too large an area. Too expensive. Too expensive. may or may not have desired results Yes No | ● All ○ Some ○ None What were the results of the Good Results Method of Application: Substitute | e treatment? | | |
| 3. Sediment screens/covers Yes No Too large an area. 4. Dredging Yes No No Too expensive. 5. Waterbody drawdown Yes No May or may not have desired results Yes No No May or may not have desired results | ● All ○ Some ○ None What were the results of the Good Results Method of Application: NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical | e treatment? D-surface application aquatic pesticides used in V | Visconsin are available from the | |
| 3. Sediment screens/covers | ● All ○ Some ○ None What were the results of the Good Results Method of Application: NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Control: | e treatment? D-surface application aquatic pesticides used in V Feasible? If No, V | Visconsin are available from the Why Not? | |
| 4. Dredging | ● All ○ Some ○ None What were the results of the Good Results Method of Application: NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting | e treatment? D-surface application aquatic pesticides used in V Feasible? If No, V O Yes No No suita | Visconsin are available from the Why Not? | |
| 5. Waterbody drawdown | ● All ○ Some ○ None What were the results of the Good Results Method of Application: NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting 2. Manual removal | e treatment? D-surface application aquatic pesticides used in V Feasible? If No, V O Yes No No suita O Yes No Too larg | Visconsin are available from the Why Not? Able access. Too expensive. The an area. | |
| 6. Nutrient controls in watershed Yes No | ● All ○ Some ○ None What were the results of the Good Results Method of Application: NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting 2. Manual removal 3. Sediment screens/covers | e treatment? D-surface application aquatic pesticides used in V Feasible? If No, V Yes No No suita Yes No Too larg Yes No Too larg | Visconsin are available from the Why Not? The ble access. Too expensive. The an area. | |
| | ● All ○ Some ○ None What were the results of the Good Results Method of Application: NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting 2. Manual removal 3. Sediment screens/covers 4. Dredging | e treatment? D-surface application aquatic pesticides used in V Feasible? If No, V Yes No No suita Yes No Too larg Yes No Too larg Yes No Too exp | Visconsin are available from the Why Not? Able access. Too expensive. See an area. See an area. See an area. | |
| - a:: | ● All ○ Some ○ None What were the results of the Good Results Method of Application: NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting 2. Manual removal 3. Sediment screens/covers 4. Dredging 5. Waterbody drawdown | e treatment? D-surface application aquatic pesticides used in V Feasible? If No, V Yes No No suita Yes No Too larg Yes No Too larg Yes No Too exp Yes No No may or see | Visconsin are available from the Why Not? Able access. Too expensive. See an area. See an area. See an area. | |
| 7. Other: O Yes O No | ● All ○ Some ○ None What were the results of the Good Results Method of Application: NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting 2. Manual removal 3. Sediment screens/covers 4. Dredging 5. Waterbody drawdown 6. Nutrient controls in watershe | e treatment? D-surface application aquatic pesticides used in V Feasible? If No, V Yes No No suita Yes No Too larg Yes No Too larg Yes No Too exp Yes No No may or ind d Yes No | Visconsin are available from the Why Not? Able access. Too expensive. See an area. See an area. See an area. | |

Note: If proposed treatment involves multiple properties, consider feasibility of EACH alternative for EACH property owner.

| Will surface water outflow and/or overflow be controlled to prevent chemical loss? ○ Yes No |
|--|
| Is the treatment area greater than 5% of surface area? Yes ○ No Yes ○ No |
| Waterbody concentration calculations (in ppm.) Refer to DNR Waterbody pages http://dnr.wi.gov/lakes to answer the following: |
| Does the waterbody stratify? ○ Yes No |
| If yes, calculate whole waterbody concentration using volume above thermocline. If no, calculate whole waterbody concentration using total lake value Whole Waterbody Concentration 1.0 Aquathol-K ppm |
| WPDES Permit Request |
| Is WPDES coverage being requested? Refer to |
| http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/wastewater/aquaticpesticides.html for more information |
| ○ Yes - complete section VII with signature. |
| • No |
| Already have WPDES |
| WPDES coverage not needed |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Required Attachments and Supplemental Information

Upload Required Attachments (15 MB per file limit) - Help reduce file size and trouble shoot file uploads

* indicates completion of this item is required

Note: To add additional attachments using the down arrow icon. To replace an existing file, use the 'Click here to attach file ' link. To remove additional items, select the item and press CNTRL Delete.

| Riparian Owners | ■ File Attachment | DelmonteTahoeRiparianOwnersList-2022.pdf |
|--------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Public Notice | | HoneyLakePRDNoticeAffidavit2022.pdf |
| Large Scale Worksheet | ■ File Attachment | |
| Site Map | ■ File Attachment | DelmonteandTahoelakes2022Permitmap.pdf |

Fee Calculation

Chemical Control Application

- 1. s. NR 107.11(1), Wis. Adm. Code, lists the conditions under which the permit fee is limited to the \$20 minimum charge.
- 2. s. NR 107.11(4), Wis. Adm. Code, lists the uses that are exempt from permit requirements.
- 3. s. NR 107.04(2), Wis. Adm. Code, provides for a refund of acreage fees if the permit is denied or if no treatment occurs.

| If Proposed treatment is over 0.25, calculate acreage fee: (round up to nearest whole acre, to maximum of 50 acres) | 12.76 |
|---|----------|
| acres X \$25 per acre = \$ If proposed treatment is less than 0.25 acre, acreage fee is \$0 | \$325.00 |
| Basic Permit Fee (non-refundable) | \$20.00 |
| Total Fee | \$345 |

Payment Information

Invoice Number: WP-00033287

Payment Confirmation Number: WS2WT3008093537

Amount Paid: \$345

Sign and Submit

Applicant Responsibilities and Certification

- 1. The applicant has prepared a detailed map which shows the length, width and average depth of each area proposed for the control of rooted vegetation and the surface area in acres or square feet for each proposed algae treatment.
- 2. The applicant understands that the Department of Natural Resources may require supervision of any aquatic plant management project involving chemicals. Under s.NR 107.07 Wis. Adm. Code, supervision may include inspection of the proposed treatment area, chemicals and application equipment before, during or after treatment. The applicant is required to notify the regional office 4 working days in advance of each anticipated treatment with the date, time, location and size of treatment unless the Department waives this requirement. Do you request the Department to waive the advance notification requirement?

O Yes
No

- 3. The applicant agrees to comply with all terms or conditions of this permit, if issued, as well as all provisions of Chapter NR 107, Wis. Adm. Code. The required application fee is attached.
- 4. The applicant will provide a copy of the current application to any affected property owners' association inland Lake District and, in the case of chemical applications for rooted aquatic plants, to all owners of property riparian or adjacent to the treatment area. The applicant has also provided a copy of the current chemical fact sheet for the chemicals proposed for use to any affected property owner's association or inland Lake District.
- 5. Conditions related to invasive species movement. The applicant and operator agree to the following methods required under s.NR 109.05(2), Wis. Adm. Code for controlling, transporting and disposing of aquatic plants and animals, and moving water:
 - Aquatic plants and animals shall be removed and water drained from all equipment as required by s.30.07, Wis. Stats., and ss. NR 19.055 and 40.07, Wis. Adm. Code.
 - Operator shall comply with the most recent Department-approved 'Boat, Gear, and Equipment Decontamination and Disinfection Protocol', Manual Code #9183.1, available at http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/invasives/disinfection.html

All portions of this permit, map and accompanying cover letter must be in possession of the chemical applicator at the time of treatment. During treatment all provisions of Chapter NR 107 107.07 and NR 107.08, Wis. Adm. Code, must be complied with, as well as the specific conditions contained in the permit cover letter.

I hereby certify that that the above information is true and correct and that copies of the application shall be provided to all affected property owners promptly and that the conditions of the permit will be adhered to. All portions of this permit, map and accompanying cover letter must be in possession of the applicant or their agent at time of plant removal. During plant removal activities, all provisions of applicable Wisconsin Administrative Rules must be complied with, as well as the specific conditions contained in the permit cover letter.

Steps to Complete the signature process

IMPORTANT: All email correspondence will be sent to the address associated with your WAMS ID).

- 1. Read and Accept the Responsibilities and Certification
- 2. Press the Initiate Signature Process button
- 3. Open the confirmation email for a one time confirmation code and instructions to complete the signature process.

You will receive a final acknowledgement email upon completing these steps .

✓ Check if you are signing as Agent for Applicant.

i:0#.f|wamsmembership|bs1j89 signed on 2022-02-

I hereby certify that the above information is true and correct and that copies of this submittal shall be provided to the appropriate parties named in the contact section and that the conditions of the permit and pesticide use will be adhered to.

Del Monte & Tahoe Lakes—Racine County, WI 2022 Proposed Treatment Areas—Entirety of Lakes



Marine Biochemists
N173 W21440 Northwest Passage
Jackson, WI
(262) 674-1781
www.marinebiochemists.com